



Participant profile


Please note that the data presented are based on only six months of data and not a full year.



Service provider indicators



Plan utilisation



LGA: Denmark (S) | Support Category: All | All Participants

Outcomes indicator on choice and control by age group

by primary disability

by level of function

by remoteness rating

by Indigenous status

by CALD status

Has the NDIS helped you have more choices and more control over your life? by age group

by primary disability

by level of function

by remoteness rating

by Indigenous status

by CALD status

Proportion of participants who reported that they choose who supports them

Denmark (S)	58%
Western Australia*	53%
Relative to state average	1.09x

** This is the weighted state average*

Proportion of participants who reported that the NDIS has helped with choice and control

Denmark (S)	74%
Western Australia*	74%
Relative to state average	1.00x

** This is the weighted state average*

Support category	Active participants with approved plans	Active providers	Participants per provider	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Average plan budget (\$)	Payments (\$m)	Average payments (\$)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?
Core										
Consumables	<div><div></div></div> 50	<div><div></div></div> 7	7.1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1	1,208	<div><div></div></div> 0.0	786	65%	63%	78%
Daily Activities	<div><div></div></div> 46	<div><div></div></div> 11	4.2	<div><div></div></div> 1.5	32,830	<div><div></div></div> 1.3	28,477	87%	59%	75%
Community	<div><div></div></div> 43	<div><div></div></div> 8	5.4	<div><div></div></div> 0.4	8,346	<div><div></div></div> 0.2	5,378	64%	69%	75%
Transport	<div><div></div></div> 28	<div><div></div></div> 2	14.0	<div><div></div></div> 0.0	998	<div><div></div></div> 0.0	995	100%	57%	81%
Core total	64	13	4.9	2.0	30,584	1.6	25,131	82%	57%	74%
Capacity Building										
Choice and Control	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	63%	69%
Daily Activities	<div><div></div></div> 69	<div><div></div></div> 11	6.3	<div><div></div></div> 0.4	6,032	<div><div></div></div> 0.2	3,059	51%	56%	77%
Employment	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
Relationships	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
Social and Civic	<div><div></div></div> 12	<div><div></div></div> 3	4.0	<div><div></div></div> 0.0	3,626	<div><div></div></div> 0.0	1,600	44%	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
Support Coordination	<div><div></div></div> 34	<div><div></div></div> 10	3.4	<div><div></div></div> 0.0	1,366	<div><div></div></div> 0.0	713	52%	52%	67%
Capacity Building total	71	23	3.1	0.6	8,610	0.3	4,232	49%	58%	74%
Capital										
Assistive Technology	<div><div></div></div> 22	<div><div></div></div> 5	4.4	<div><div></div></div> 0.1	6,380	<div><div></div></div> 0.1	4,464	70%	59%	82%
Home Modifications	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
Capital total	24	5	4.8	0.2	8,691	0.1	5,772	66%	58%	82%
All support categories	71	25	2.8	2.8	39,116	2.0	28,836	74%	58%	74%

Note: Only the major support categories are shown.

Note: The Capacity Building total includes Health and Wellbeing, Home Living and Lifelong Learning although these support categories are not shown.

Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% for the six month exposure period considered, due to the uneven distribution of payments over the duration of a plan.

In addition, the utilisation rate for core supports may be above 100% due to fungibility which refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitation.

Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the LGA / have supports relating to the support category in their plan.
Active providers	Number of providers that received payments for supports provided to participants within the LGA / support category, over the exposure period.
Participants per provider	Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of active providers.
Total plan budgets	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period.
Payments	Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People's Payments).
Utilisation	Ratio between payments and total plan budgets.
Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them.
Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control.